## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA WESTERN DISTRICT

MEYER, DARRAGH, BUCKLER, BEBENEK & ECK, P.L.L.C.,

: No. 397 WAL 2016

Petitioner

: Cross Petition for Allowance of Appeal: from the Order of the Superior Court

٧.

LAW FIRM OF MALONE MIDDLEMAN, PC, AND CANDACE A. EAZOR AND RICHARD EAZOR, AS EXECUTORS OF THE ESTATE OF RICHARD A. EAZOR,

Respondents

: No. 398 WAL 2016

MEYER, DARRAGH, BUCKLER, BEBENEK & ECK, P.L.L.C.,

: Cross Petition for Allowance of Appeal

Petitioner

: from the Order of the Superior Court

٧.

LAW FIRM OF MALONE MIDDLEMAN, P.C. AND CANDACE A. EAZOR AND RICHARD EAZOR AS EXECUTORS OF THE ESTATE OF RICHARD A. EAZOR,

Respondents

## **ORDER**

## **PER CURIAM**

**AND NOW**, this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of February, 2017, the Cross Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issue, as stated by petitioner, is:

Whether the Superior Court erred in vacating the Judgment of the Court of Common Pleas with respect to Meyer Darragh's *quantum meruit* claim when Meyer Darragh performed legal work and incurred expenses on behalf of the client and doing so would force Meyer Darragh to engage that client, who has already paid its legal fees in full, in litigation or allow Malone Middleman to be unjustly enriched.